

The effect of water-based Acrylic polymers on the soil properties



1928

Faculty of Civil Engineering

K. N. Toosi University of Technology

This thesis is submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Aida Mehrpajouh

Supervisor:

Prof. Hasan Ghasemzadeh

Co-Supervisor:

Dr. Malihe Pishvaei

February 2021

Dedicated To My loving family ...

Who supported me in all tough moments...

Abstract

The focus of this Ph.D. project is on the effect of some characteristics of polymer latexes, including glass transition temperature (T_g), particle size, additives, monomer type, and surfactant charge on the physical, mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties and microstructure of polymer-stabilized soils.

Poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) with three different T_g, and poly (styrene-co-butyl acrylate), were synthesized and a commercially produced poly (vinyl acetate) were regarded as different monomer types. Various polymer additives consisting of thickeners, wetting agents, antifoaming agents, and buffers were also used. A series of geotechnical laboratory tests, including compaction, Atterberg, UCS, DS, Triaxial, Consolidation, and swelling, as well as thermal conductivity, CEC, electrical resistivity, and pH control tests were carried out on different mixtures of polymer-stabilized sand and kaolinite. Five different curing methods were considered to evaluate the optimum condition for soil strength-gaining.

The results showed a considerable improvement in the UCS value and cohesion of both soils considering the air-drying condition. The polymer with a near-to-ambient T_g made a more notable enhancement. Samples stabilized with a polymer having the lowest T_g have displayed more compression index and higher plasticity. The swelling parameters (i.e., swelling index and pressure) decreased slightly with increasing T_g. Samples stabilized with poly (vinyl acetate) have the highest strength. The plasticity index and swelling parameters are increased compared to the unstabilized soil. The samples stabilized with poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) have shown a better performance from the perspective of both reducing swelling and increasing strength. Thickeners have shown a significant positive influence on the UCS while enhancing the ductility. Acidic pH has led to UCS up to 2.5 times than the alkaline media. The higher anionic wetting agent (i.e., SDS) amount resulted in a higher failure strain and reduced UCS. Polymer addition also affects the electrical behavior of soil. However, regarding the trivial variation of EC by adding different polymers, monomer type and T_g have a minor effect on the total electrical behavior of the soil-polymer mixture. T_g and monomer type also influence the thermal behavior of the soil-polymer mixture. Lower T_g has also reduced the polymer thermal conductivity. Overall, the polymers used in this study showed promising results in improving the soil properties subjected to different loading conditions.

Keywords: soil stabilization, waterborne latex, glass transition temperature, monomer type, thickeners, pH control agent, surfactant, antifoaming agent

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Hasan Ghasemzadeh**, for his excellent guidance, immense patience, and continuous support throughout my Ph.D. I would also acknowledge co-supervisor **Dr. Malihe Pishvaei**, who sincerely dedicated her time and effort to every moment of this research.

Finally, my deep and sincere gratitude is to **my family** for their continuous and unparalleled love, help, and support. My deepest appreciation goes to **my husband** for his support and patience. I am also grateful to **my sister** for always being there for me as a friend.

And above all, I am forever indebted to **my parents** for giving me the opportunities, experiences, and confidence that have made me who I am.

Table of Content

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Motivation.....	1
1.1.1 Soil stabilization.....	1
1.1.2 Novel chemical additives	3
1.1.3 Polymer- soil composite	4
1.1.4 Novelty of research	5
1.2 Aims and objectives	6
1.3 Thesis outline	7
Chapter 2. Literature review	9
2.1 Overview of soil stabilization techniques	9
2.1.1 Chemical additives.....	10
2.2 Soil-polymer stabilization	14
2.2.1 Compressive and Tensile Strength.....	16
2.2.2 Atterberg limits	18
2.2.3 Cohesion and friction angle	20
2.2.4 Swelling	22
2.2.5 Odometer tests	25
2.2.6 Compaction	26
2.2.7 Thermal and Electrical properties	28
2.3 Factors affecting the properties of polymer	30
2.3.1 Polymerization systems	30
2.3.2 Physical properties of polymers.....	35
2.3.3 Thermal properties	38
2.3.4 Chemical structure	41
2.3.5 Additives	46
2.3.6 Mechanical properties of polymers.....	55
2.3.7 Polymer degradation	55
2.4 Concluding remarks	56
Chapter 3. Materials and experimental methods.....	58
3.1 Materials.....	58
3.1.1 Soils.....	58
3.1.2 Monomers	59
3.1.3 Surfactant	62

3.1.4	Initiator.....	64
3.1.5	Additives.....	64
3.2	Polymerization procedure	68
3.2.1	Emulsion polymerization.....	68
3.3	Specimen preparation.....	71
3.3.1	Preparation of polymer solution.....	71
3.3.2	Preparation of test specimens.....	72
3.3.3	Sample curing conditions.....	74
3.4	Experimental tests to verify polymer characterization.....	76
3.4.1	Differential scattering calorimetry (DSC)	76
3.4.2	Dynamic light scattering (DLS).....	77
3.4.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	78
3.4.4	Solid content	79
3.4.5	Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA)	79
3.4.6	Rheometer test	80
3.4.7	Surface tension measurement	81
3.5	Experimental tests to verify the performance and properties of polymer-stabilized soil.....	81
3.5.1	Standard proctor compaction tests.....	82
3.5.2	Atterberg limits tests	83
3.5.3	Unconfined compressive strength (UCS)	83
3.5.4	Direct shear tests (DSC).....	84
3.5.5	Triaxial tests.....	85
3.5.6	Swelling tests	86
3.5.7	Odometer test	87
3.5.8	Thermal conductivity.....	87
3.5.9	Cation exchange capacity (CEC).....	90
3.5.10	Electrical resistivity	92
3.6	Concluding remarks	94
Chapter 4. Analyses of polymer-stabilized soils with different glass transition temperatures		95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Materials and mix proportions	96
4.3	Polymers characterization	97
4.3.1	DMTA results	97
4.3.2	DSC results	98

4.3.3	Particle size distribution.....	99
4.4	Effect of glass transition temperature on the mechanical properties	100
4.4.1	Compaction parameters	101
4.4.2	Unconfined compressive strength (UCS) tests	104
4.4.3	Atterberg limits	115
4.4.4	Cohesion and friction angle	116
4.4.5	Swelling parameters and settlement.....	119
4.5	Saturation and durability	120
4.6	Microscopic survey of stabilization via polymers with different Tg	122
4.7	Concluding remarks	126
	Chapter 5. Analysis of polymer-stabilized with different chemical structures.....	128
5.1	Introduction	128
5.2	Materials and mix proportions	128
5.3	Polymers characterization	129
5.4	Effect of different monomers on the mechanical properties	131
5.4.1	Atterberg Limits.....	132
5.4.2	Unconfined compressive strength (UCS)	133
5.4.3	Cohesion and friction angle	134
5.4.4	Swelling parameters and settlement.....	139
5.5	Microscopic view of stabilization via polymers with monomers	141
5.6	Effect of polymer charge on soil- mixture properties	142
5.7	Concluding remarks	145
	Chapter 6. Strength properties of soil stabilized with polymer and different additives	147
6.1	Introduction	147
6.2	Materials and mixing proportions	147
6.2.1	Polymer	147
6.2.2	Wetting agents	148
6.2.3	Rheology control agents (thickeners)	148
6.2.4	PH control agent	149
6.2.5	Antifoaming agent	149
6.2.6	Sample preparation	149
6.3	Effect of different rheological agents.....	150
6.4	Effect of wetting agent	154
6.5	Effect of pH control agent.....	160

6.6	Effect of antifoam agent.....	161
6.7	Concluding remarks	163
	Chapter 7. Electrical and thermal characteristics of polymer-stabilized soil.....	165
7.1	Introduction	165
7.2	Materials and mixing proportion.....	165
7.3	Results and discussion.....	166
7.3.1	Effect of polymer characteristics on the electrical behavior of polymer-stabilized soil 166	
7.3.2	Effect of polymer on soil thermal conductivity	173
7.4	Concluding remarks	179
	Chapter 8. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	182
8.1	Conclusion.....	182
8.2	Recommendations for practice and future research	186
	References.....	187

Figure List

Figure 1-1. Some of the soil stabilization methods.....	2
Figure 2-1. Swelling behavior of polymer-stabilized soil (A. Soltani et al., 2017)	24
Figure 2-2. Effect of molecular weight on some mechanical properties of Polyethylene (Shrivastava, 2018)	36
Figure 2-3. Example curve of a heat flow versus temperature plot for a semi-crystalline polymer (Ratto et al., 1995)	40
Figure 2-4. Different stereochemistry of polymer chains (i) isotactic, (ii) syndiotactic, and (iii) atactic (Nicholson, 2006)	42
Figure 2-5. Schematic figure of different crystallinity (a) semi-crystalline, (b) amorphous (Nielsen and Landel, 1993).....	43
Figure 2-6. Schematic figure of micelles (Rangel-Yagui et al., 2005)	47
Figure 2-7. Use of HLB for choosing the appropriate surfactant (Nakama, 2017).....	48
Figure 2-8. Adsorption of surfactants on the soil at different pH value (a) anionic surfactant), (b) nonionic surfactant (Rao and He, 2006)	51
Figure 2-9. Different types of thickeners (a) conventional, (b) associative thickeners (Koleske, 1995)....	52
Figure 2-10. Time and temperature dependency of stress-strain behavior of PMMA (a) variation of the stress-strain curve due to the change of temperature (b) variation of the stress-strain curve due to the change of loading rate (Richeton et al., 2006)	55
Figure 3-1. The studied soils (a) sand, (b) clay.....	59
Figure 3-2. Grain size distribution of the studied soils	59
Figure 3-3. Schematic chemical structure of different rheological agents (a) Tylose (AGRIOS, 2005), (b) CMC (Ernsting et al., 2011), (c) Xanthan (Quinten et al., 2011).....	66
Figure 3-4. A picture of the reactor system used in the study.....	69
Figure 3-5. The method for determining the dried weight of polymer latex	70

Figure 3-6. The picture of the compaction apparatus built by authors	73
Figure 3-7. Putting sample into DCS apparatus.....	77
Figure 3-8. A schematic figure of how a DLS apparatus works (Aleandri et al., 2018).....	77
Figure 3-9. FTIR spectrum of natural rubber (Aiello et al., 2014)	78
Figure 3-10. The variation of modulus vs. temperature near the value of T_g (Nicholson, 2006)	80
Figure 3-11. A picture of the used rheometer test device	80
Figure 3-12. Wilhelmy plate method for measurement (Tiab and Donaldson, 2012)	81
Figure 3-13. Direct shear test apparatus.....	85
Figure 3-14. Triaxial test (a) apparatus, (b) sample after test	86
Figure 3-15. Odometer test apparatus and sample	87
Figure 3-16. Schematic of heat flow for determining thermal conductivity (Zhao et al., 2016)	88
Figure 3-17. The sample and equipment of the thermal conductivity test.....	89
Figure 3-18. The schematic of thermal conductivity	89
Figure 3-19. ASTM D7503 Setup for Filtration and measuring CEC	91
Figure 3-20. Soil electrical resistivity test (a) ABEM Terrameter (b) schematic of the test.....	92
Figure 3-21 test apparatus via soil suspension technique (a) EC meter, (b) pH meter	93
Figure 4-1. Results of DMTA tests on commercial latex (NA58).....	98
Figure 4-2. DSC results of synthesized methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate with different T_g s	99
Figure 4-3. Particle size distribution for MBA with different T_g	100
Figure 4-4. FTIR spectra for studied MBA.....	100
Figure 4-5. Standard Proctor compaction curves for unstabilized and stabilized clay with NA66 and NA58	102
Figure 4-6. Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for unstabilized and stabilized soils with polymers with different T_g s.....	103
Figure 4-7. Strain energy and UCS of the unstabilized clay prepared using different curing conditions.	105

Figure 4-8. Normalized strain energy, UCS, and stress-strain behavior of stabilized soil with Method I (a,b) NA66-stabilized clay, (c,d) NA58-stabilized clay	107
Figure 4-9. UCS, Strain energy and stress-strain behavior of stabilized clay (Method II) (a,b) NA66-stabilized clay, (c,d) NA58-stabilized clay	109
Figure 4-10. Normalized Strain energy, UCS, and stress-strain behavior of stabilized soil cured by Method III (a,b) NA66-stabilized clay, (c,d) NA58-stabilized clay.....	111
Figure 4-11. Normalized strain energy, UCS and stress-strain behavior of stabilized clay (Method IV) (a,b) NA66-stabilized clay, (c,d) NA58-stabilized clay	112
Figure 4-12. Normalized strain energy, UCS and stress-strain behavior of stabilized clay (Method V) (a,b) NA66-stabilized clay	113
Figure 4-13. Stress-Strain Behavior of Stabilized Soils with different dosages of LT, MT, and HT for (a) Clay, (b) Sand.	114
Figure 4-14. Stress-Strain behavior of Stabilized Soils with different dosage of MT, (a) Clay, (b) Sand.	115
Figure 4-15. Stress-strain behavior of stabilized soils with different polymers (a) sand (b) Clay.....	115
Figure 4-16. The variation of shear parameters angle of clay and sandy soils with polymers having different Tg (a) cohesion and (b) internal friction.	116
Figure 4-17. Shear stress-strain curves for air-dried unstabilized and stabilized clay samples	118
Figure 4-18. Shear stress-strain curves for saturated unstabilized and stabilized clay samples	118
Figure 4-19. Shear stress-strain curves for air-dried unstabilized and stabilized Sand samples.....	119
Figure 4-20. Shear stress-strain curves for saturated unstabilized and stabilized Sand samples	119
Figure 4-21. Variation of Consolidation and swelling behavior of unstabilized and stabilized Clay (a) Cc and Cr (b) Swelling pressure versus swelling potential	120
Figure 4-22. The samples after one cycle of immersion (a) stabilized sand with MT and LT (b) stabilized sand with HT, (c) stabilized clay	121
Figure 4-23. The UCS value of polymer-stabilized sand versus the number of wetting- drying cycles ..	122

Figure 4-24. SEM images of unstabilized clay (a) 500 times magnification (b) 1000 times magnification and stabilized clay with 5% NA66 prepared using method III.	123
Figure 4-25. Pore volume percentage based on image processing	124
Figure 4-26. SEM images of unstabilized and stabilized clay with magnification of 1000 times (a) pure clay, (b) stabilized with HT, (c) stabilized with MT, (d) stabilized with LT	125
Figure 4-27. SEM images of stabilized sand with two magnification (a) 1000 times magnification, (b) 760 magnification	125
Figure 5-1. the results of polymer characterization tests	130
Fig. 5-2. FTIR spectra of polymers (a) MBA, (b) STBA, and (c) PVAc.....	131
Figure 5-3. UCS tests results of unstabilized and stabilized soil with different polymers (a) Kaolinite and (b) Sand.....	134
Figure 5-4. Shear stress-strain curves of unstabilized and stabilized soil with different polymers	135
Figure 5-5. Shear stress-strain curves of unstabilized and stabilized sand with different polymers.....	136
Fig. 5-6. Deviatoric Stress-Strain curves of air-dried unstabilized and polymer-stabilized samples with different polymer types (a) $\sigma_3=50$ kPa, (b) $\sigma_3=100$ kPa, (c) $\sigma_3=150$ kPa.....	137
Fig. 5-7. Deviatoric Stress-Strain curves of wrapped unstabilized and polymer-stabilized samples with different polymer types (a) $\sigma_3=50$ kPa, (b) $\sigma_3=100$ kPa, (c) $\sigma_3=150$ kPa	138
Figure 5-8. Swelling parameters of Clay stabilized with different polymer concentration and monomers (a) Swelling potential, (b) Swelling pressure	140
Fig. 5-9. Consolidation tests results (a) e-logp curves, (b) pre-consolidation pressures for different types of stabilizer types.	140
Figure 5-10. SEM images of unstabilized and stabilized Kaolinite soil (a) unstabilized sample, (b) stabilized with STBA, (c) stabilized with MBA, (d) stabilized with PVAc.....	142
Figure 5-11. The pore volume percentage of microscopic images	143
Figure 5-12. The stress-strain value of unstabilized and stabilized soils with anionic and nonionic polymers (a) kaolinite, (b) sand	144

Figure 6-1. schematic chemical structure of different rheological agents (a) Tylose, (b) CMC, (c) Xanthan	148
Figure 6-2. Stress-strain curves of stabilized clay with different rheological agents (a) with CMC, (b) with CMC and MBA, (c) With Tylose and MBA, (d) with Xanthan and MBA.....	152
Figure 6-3.Rheometry viscosity curves of polymer and different thickeners (a) shear stress- shear rate curves, (b) viscosity- shear rate curves	153
Figure 6-4. SEM images of polymer-stabilized clay with different thickeners, (a) CMC, (b) Tylose, (c) Xanthan gum.....	153
Figure 6-5. schematic of polymer emulsion (a) without thickener, (b) with cellulosic thickener	154
Figure 6-6. The particle size distribution of synthesized polymers with 0.5, 2, and 4% SDS.....	155
Figure 6-7. The SEM images of two polymers synthesized with different amount of SDS (a) 2% SDS, (b) 4% SDS.....	155
Figure 6-8. Stress-strain behavior of stabilized clay with polymer and different amount of SDS (a) UCS and corresponding strain value, (b) stress-strain curves	156
Figure 6-9. Stress-strain behavior of stabilized clay with polymer and different amount of wetting agents (a) CTAB, (b) Kenon	158
Figure 6-10. SEM images of polymer stabilized soil with different wetting agents (a) 0.5% CTAB, (b) 10% SDS, (c) 0.5% Kenon, (d) 2% SDS.....	159
Figure 6-11. Results of unconfined compressive strength of polymer-stabilized samples with different pH (a) stress train curves, (b) UCS value.	160
Figure 6-12.SEM images of polymer stabilized clay in different pH (a) pH=2, (b) pH=12.....	161
Figure 6-13. Pore volume ratio of stabilized soil with different additives.....	161
Figure 6-14.UCS behavior of MBA stabilized clay with different amount of antifoaming agent (a) stress-strain curves, (b) UCS and strain energy	162
Figure 7-1. Trend plates of (a) polymer concentration and (b) moisture content versus electrical resistivity and glass transition temperature of polymer	169

Figure 7-2. The relationship between parameters and R_E ; (a) contour graph between polymer content, water content, and electrical resistivity, (b) trendline between polymer content and electrical resistivity.....	170
Figure 7-3. Electrical resistivity of stabilized soil with different polymers (a) ρ -water content, (b) normalized ρ - S_r	172
Figure 7-4. Temperature-thermal flux length for clay sample.....	174
Figure 7-5. Schematic of heat flux in samples (a) unstabilized sample, (b) stabilized sample with polymer	175
Figure 7-6. schematic of thermal conductive mechanisms in a polymer (Burger et al., 2016).....	176
Figure 7-7. The calculated and observed thermal conductivity (a) for different polymer type, (b) for different dosages.....	178
Figure 7-8. The relationship between polymer content, water content, and thermal conductivity; (a) contour graph, (b) the logarithmic relationship between polymer content and thermal conductivity.....	178
Figure 7-9. The soil electrical and thermal resistivity versus stabilization type	179

Table List

Table 2-1- summary of studies on the effect of polymer additives on the UCS value of different soils. ...	18
Table 2-2. Summary of studies on the effect of polymer additives on the plastic index of different soils.	20
Table 2-3. Summary of studies on the effect of polymer additives on the cohesion and internal friction angle of different soils.	22
Table 2-4. Summary of studies on the effect of polymer additives on the swelling behavior of different soils.	24
Table 2-5. Summary of studies on the effect of polymer additives on compression index and compaction parameters of different soils.....	27
Table 2-6. Summary of studies on the effect of polymer additives on the thermal and electrical conductivity of different soils.	30

Table 3-1. Chemical analysis of Kaolinite and Firouzkuh sand (All in %)	58
Table 3-2. Chemical structure of applied monomers	60
Table 3-3. Some properties of monomers used in the study	61
Table 3-4. The properties of studied commercial polymers	62
Table 3-5. Some properties of surfactants used in the study	63
Table 3-6. Properties of the initiator system used in the study	64
Table 3-7. Properties of antifoaming agent	67
Table 3-8. Properties of studied ammonia	68
Table 3-9. Recipes of different latexes used in the study	71
Table 3-10. Different mix design of sample prepared with polymer and different additives	73
Table 3-11. Details of Preparation conditions	74
Table 3-12. An experimental program for investigating the behavior of soil- polymer mixture	82
Table 4-1. Mix composition of unstabilized and stabilized soils using latexes with different Tg	96
Table 4-2. Average particle size and polydispersity of latexes based on DLS tests	99
Table 4-3. Atterberg limits of unstabilized and stabilized Kaolinite with polymers	115
Table 5-1. Average particle size and polydispersity for different monomers	130
Table 5-2. Atterberg limits of unstabilized and stabilized clay with polymers	132
Table 5-3. Cohesion and internal friction angle of soil in unstabilized and stabilized conditions	136
Table 5-4. Internal friction angle and Cohesion of unstabilized and stabilized soil under the air-dried and wrapped condition	138
Table 5-5. Atterberg limits of unstabilized and stabilized clay with MT having different surfactants	144
Table 5-6. Cohesion and internal friction angle of unstabilized and stabilized clay with the different surfactant charge	145
Table 6-1. Properties of studied antifoaming agent	149
Table 6-2. Surface tension value for different surfactant type and dosage	158
Table 7-1. The values of pH, EC, and electrical resistivity of unstabilized and stabilized clay	166

Table 7-2. The relationship between polymer content and electrical resistivity.....	168
Table 7-3. CEC value of unstabilized and stabilized clay	173
Table 7-4. Thermal resistivity of unstabilized and stabilized clay with different polymers	174
Table 7-5. Thermal conductivity of polymer-stabilized soil with different polymer concentration.....	177

List of Abbreviations

Tg	Glass transition temperature
PI	Plasticity index
LL	Liquid limit
PL	Plastic limit
UCS	Unconfined compressive strength
DS	Direct shear
MBA	Poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate)
LT	Poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) with low Tg
MT	Poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) with Tg near ambient
HT	Poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) with high Tg
PVAc	Poly (vinyl acetate)
STBA	Poly (styrene-co-butyl acrylate)
FTIR	Infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
DLS	dynamic light scattering
DMTA	Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis
K	Kaolinite
S	Sand
C	Cohesion
φ	Internal friction angle
MDD	Maximum dry density
OMC	Optimum moisture content
MT (N)	Poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) synthesized with nonionic surfactant
MT (AA)	Terpolymer of methyl methacrylate, butylacrylate, and acrylic acid
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
KPS	Potassium persulfate
Kenon	Nonyl phenol ethoxylates
PVOH	Poly vinyl alcohol
CMC	Critical micelle concentration
CMC	Carboxy methyl cellulose
EC	Electrical conductivity
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
R_E/ρ	Electrical resistivity
R_T/λ	Thermal resistivity
TC	Thermal conductivity
CTAB	Cetrimonium bromide

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

1.1.1 Soil stabilization

From a civil engineering perspective, proper soil is defined as discrete material with adequate compressive and shear strength to bear construction. However, as a heterogeneous material and due to increasing demands for construction, it is hardly possible to find the originally suitable subgrade without modification to withstand the building loads. It is even more unattainable when the project faces problematic soils such as organic soils, expansive soils, and liquefiable soils. On the other hand, many geotechnical projects confront new challenges in buried facilities such as high-voltage cables, geothermal energy systems, and third rail technology of railway system, affecting the electrical, mechanical, and thermal properties of soils.

Many soil stabilization methods were invented to respond to the challenges of limited resources, project costs, material availability, and sustainable infrastructure as a viable political issue for developed and developing countries. Soil stabilization is a technical term used to improve the soil to meet the desired purpose. This mainly includes increasing unit weight, strength, bearing capacity, and reducing settlement, swelling potential, and porosity from a geotechnical perspective. However, the geotechnical design criteria consisting of loading, type of foundation, desired bearing capacity, allocated budget, and instability severance has to be considered to choose whether the soil has to be replaced or stabilized.

Soil stabilization techniques can be categorized into various ways, including physical, mechanical, chemical, and biological stabilization (Ingles and Metcalf, 1973). It is realized via rearranging, bonding together, or even waterproofing soil particles to enhance stability facing

loading and water (Makusa, 2012). Physical stabilization is defined as changing the physical state of soil via heating or freezing procedure (Chang and Lacy, 2008). The mechanical stabilization is simply obtained by mostly increasing the soil density using mechanical forces or external intruders like geosynthetics and nailing. The most well-known mechanical methods are roller-compaction and drainage, vibration or dynamic compaction, stone column, preloading consolidation, geosynthetic reinforcement, and deep soil mixing; some of them have been depicted in Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1. Some of the soil stabilization methods, (a) Geocell, (b) surface chemical stabilization, (c) deep mixing method

However, soil properties need other amendments when the intrinsic structure is too weak and unstable, like high swelling potential. These can be responded to by using some chemical binders such as lime, cement, and polymers (Alazigha et al., 2016; Turkoz et al., 2015; Rao and Anusha, 2011).

Chemical stabilization is defined as binding soil particles by chemical reaction of additives with soil substance. Various additives have been applied to the soil, from rice husk to microbially induced cementitious materials. For instance, Ghasemzadeh and Modiri (2020) investigated soil stabilization using a novel Persian gum, and their results showed a significant improvement in the UCS and microstructure of soil. Lu et al. (2014b) studied the effect of rice husk and coal fly ash on expansive clayey soil, and their findings revealed that the combination of carbon atoms with clay minerals led to a lower plasticity index.

Chemical additives can be classified into two groups of traditional and nontraditional additives (Marto et al., 2014). Traditional binders are mainly included cement, lime, fly ash, and bitumen. However, these stabilizers have negatively affected the environment and shown weak long-term performance. As an example of the weak points, cement is the source of 5 percent of global CO₂ emissions based on the Carbon dioxide information analyses center (2017). Besides, the shrinkage cracking resulted from hydration and cement drying process, brittleness, and swelling are other drawbacks of cement stabilization. These flaw points decrease the ultimate strength and performance of the soil-cement mixture (Rezaeimalek et al., 2017c; Sebesta and Scullion, 2004; George, 1973). Hence, engineers tend to replace innovative methods and binders in order to reduce the side effects of the previous ones.

The advent of nontraditional stabilizers such as enzymes, polymers, biopolymers, microbial induced cement, or wastes have shown successful results in the literature (Chen et al., 2019a; Kang et al., 2019b; Hamdan and Kavazanjian, 2016; Smith et al., 2001), worth focusing literally and practically.

1.1.2 Novel chemical additives

The use of nontraditional additives seems to be a new subject of interest, through world war II, due to the emergency need of the US Army for rapid soil improvement, and a great effort performed to find new chemical additives as a concept of nontraditional stabilizers (Kinter, 1975),

Most nontraditional stabilizers are divided into ionic, enzymes, salts, oil derivative resins, polymers, and biopolymers (Tingle et al., 2007). However, other innovative, more environmentally-respectful materials like wastes (Eshghinezhad et al., 2020; Bekhiti et al., 2019; Attom and Al-Sharif, 1998), rice husk (Lu et al., 2014b), and carpet fiber (Mirzababaei

et al., 2013) have been investigated but less practically used. It should be noted that nontoxicity is the most important characteristic that should be considered in choosing the proper materials. The stabilizing mechanisms of nontraditional binders are mostly introduced as filling, particle covering, or chemical reaction by ion-exchange. These mechanisms depend on the material and soil surface charge. For instance, ionic stabilizers such as acids and alkaline have been reported to cause a reduction in the double layer through cation exchange, while lignin is mostly bridging between particles due to electrostatic attractions. However, filling the voids is mostly mentioned since these agents' molecules are supposed to bind the soil particles through physical or chemical adhesion (Kushwaha et al., 2019; Rodriguez et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2014a). This microscopic viewpoint has led to a better understanding of stabilized soil meso- and macro-scale behavior with nontraditional additives.

Plenty of successful application of novel additives is reported in the literature (Collins et al., 2015; Marto et al., 2014; Cuisinier and Masrouri, 2013). However, some studies have shown incompatibilities between the manufacturer claim and experimental results (Kolay et al., 2016; Mousavi et al., 2014). On the other hand, the product chemical components have mostly not been revealed due to the company's policy. Therefore, it is hardly possible to determine the optimum condition, material concentration, and soil particle interaction for each product.

1.1.3 Polymer- soil composite

Polymers are defined as giant molecules made up of a thousand repetitive units called a monomer. These materials have almost high molecular weights (from 1000 to 1 billion) and high stability under ambient environment. Polymers have been introduced to geotechnical engineering with the advent of geosynthetics by 1980 as polymeric sheets to enhance slope stability.

Various types of polymers have been introduced and utilized for geotechnical engineering soil improvement. Vinyl polymers have been found practical for improving the mechanical properties of both fine and coarse-grain soils. These polymers are non-toxic, inexpensive, and categorized as environmental-friendly water-based soil stabilizers that guarantee ease of a mixing procedure with soil (Fungaroli and Prager, 1969). The polymer's sticky features result in binding soil grains and developing larger-size aggregates with a higher compressive and shear strength (Mirzababaei et al., 2009).

However, despite considering the effect of using vinyl polymers as soil conditioners in most previous studies, the influence of polymer characteristics on the chemical and mechanical behavior of the subsequent soil-polymer mixture is almost neglected. Hence, identifying the impact of polymer characteristics such as chemical structure, electrical charge, solvent type, and density on the physical, mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of soil needs a precise insight into polymer science and soil mechanics. This is necessary to promote the polymeric soil additives in case of compatibility and better interaction.

1.1.4 Novelty of research

Among the nontraditional additives, polymers (mostly water-based resins) are more of interest due to their low cost, ease of application, and less curing time. Although many pieces of research have been done in the area of soil stabilization by polymers, most of them have been focused on the effect of polymer application, while identifying the mechanism of stabilization with such polymers has not been fully overviewed. It is even more complicated, referring to various polymer types and their use prescription for different soil classification. The interaction of soil particles and polymer molecules is highly dependent on chemical components and synthesis of polymer and soil type and minerals. For instance, the glass transition temperature (T_g) is one of the main characteristics influencing plenty of polymers' rheological and

mechanical properties. It is defined as the temperature range where the polymer form changes from a brittle material to a soft and rubbery state without phase changing (Nielsen and Landel, 1993). Considering main properties, including Tg, rheology, chemical structure, and particle size, these key factors are less regarded in the last research pieces due to commercial privacy, where the chemical composition has been refused to reveal. However, the successful development of the optimum values of polymer characteristics can help to design optimum water-based polymers for different soils and application conditions

Therefore, this study represents one of the first attempts to understand the soil interaction with polymers dealing with their main properties. Three different emulsion vinyl polymers, including poly (vinyl acetate), poly (styrene-co-butyl acrylate), poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate), and various types of polymer additives such as rheology agents, have been applied to cohesive and non-cohesive soils.

This research applies the concept of considering the main characteristics of polymer to soil-polymer interaction and investigating their chemical, physical, and mechanical performance via several laboratory tests and microfabric structure perspective.

1.2 Aims and objectives

This research aims to enhance polymer performance as a soil additive by understanding the effect of each property of poly (vinyl acetate), poly (styrene-co-butyl acrylate), poly (methyl methacrylate-co-butyl acrylate) on soil stabilization and interactions. As inspired by and intended to develop the prior studies, the objectives of this study are:

1. To understand the effect of Tg (by choosing below, above, and near ambient temperature) on the UCS, cohesion, internal friction angle, elastic modulus, and wetting-drying durability of sand (as non-cohesive soil) and Kaolinite (as cohesive soil), as well as swelling parameters, compression index, void ratio, durability,

electrical conductivity, cation exchange capacity, electrical resistivity, thermal resistivity, and microstructure change of Kaolinite;

2. To investigate the impact of the chemical structure of monomers on the UCS, cohesion, internal friction angle, elastic modulus, and wetting-drying durability of sand (as non-cohesive soil) and Kaolinite (as cohesive soil), as well as swelling parameters, compression index, void ratio, durability, electrical conductivity, cation exchange capacity, electrical resistivity, thermal resistivity, and microstructure change of Kaolinite;
3. To evaluate the conventional polymer additives, including rheological (Xanthan gum, CMC, and Tylose), pH control (ammonia), wetting (SDS, CTAB, and Kenon), and an antifoaming agent (silicone-based antifoam) used in the UCS value and strain energy of the clay-polymer mixture
4. To assess the different preparation and curing conditions such as temperature, moisture, and time (ambient temperature for seven days, oven drying, remoistening, and moisture remaining) on the vinyl polymer efficiency as a soil additive on the UCS value and strain energy.

Ultimately, this research aims to promote water-based polymeric soil stabilizers by providing insight into the effect of the main polymer characteristics on the soil-polymer mixture properties.

1.3 Thesis outline

This thesis has been arranged into eight chapters. **Chapter 1** introduces the background of the problem, the purpose, and the aims and scopes. **Chapter 2** presents a thorough critical literature review. The state of the artwork done by other researchers on the soil-polymer mixture and its performance subjected to different conditions is discussed, as well. Besides, the key factors

affecting the polymer characteristics are investigated in detail. **Chapter 3** characterizes the materials and experimental tests used in the study and the synthesizing process of used polymers. **Chapter 4** covers the investigation of the glass transition temperature on different soil-polymer mixtures properties via laboratory tests. **Chapter 5** describes the monomer type effect of the properties of the polymer and soil-polymer composites. The use of different polymer additives has been investigated on the soil UCS in **chapter 6**. **Chapter 7** is dedicated to the effect of the main characteristics of polymer (i.e., Tg and chemical structure) on the electrical and thermal resistivity of fine-grained soil. Finally, it concludes the thesis by summarizing the main findings of this research and offers recommendations for future work in **chapter 8**.