

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, Materials Science and Engineering: A, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding

Morteza Alizadeh ^{a,*}, Erfan Salahinejad ^b

^a Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Shiraz University of Technology, Shiraz, Iran

^b Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, the structural and mechanical characteristics of aluminum strips subjected to two severe plastic deformation processes, namely accumulative roll bonding (ARB) and cross accumulative roll bonding (CARB), is compared. Transmission electron microscopy studies on the strips showed that ultrafine grains are formed by both of the processing routes to eight passes. However, the structure of the CARB-processed specimen was less elongated than that of the ARB-processed specimens; that is, the aspect ratio of grains in the CARB case was less than that in the ARB specimens. Tensile tests indicated that the tensile strength is increased with the number of rolling passes, and the strength of the CARB specimens is higher than that of the ARB specimens.

Keywords: Electron microscopy; Mechanical characterization; Aluminum alloys; Grain refinement

* Corresponding Author: *Email address:* Alizadeh@sutech.ac.ir (Morteza Alizadeh)

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

1. Introduction

Polycrystals having small grains with an average size of 100 nm to 1 μ m are identified as ultrafine-grained (UFG) materials. Compared to conventional coarse-grained structures, UFG materials present some outstanding properties such as high strength, low-temperature and/or high-strain-rate superplasticity, enhanced fatigue behavior, and superior corrosion resistance [1,2]. These desirable features have caused UFG materials to attract a lot of interest in the recent years. Deformation to large strains below the recrystallization temperature without intermediate heat treatments, named as severe plastic deformation (SPD), can result in UFG structures [1,2]. Several SPD techniques, such as equal-channel angular pressing, high-pressure torsion, and accumulative roll-bonding (ARB) [3,4] have been developed to fabricate UFG materials.

In the ARB process, the surfaces of the strips to be joined are cleaned, roughened (by scratch brushing), and then stacked. After stacking, the specimen is bounded by rolling, is cut into two specimens, and the above-mentioned procedure is repeated several times. It has been shown that the repetition of the ARB process, typically above five passes, can develop a pancake shaped or elongated lamellar UFG structure in various metallic materials. The formation mechanism of UFG structures by ARB is explained in terms of grain subdivision at the submicron scale [3-9].

In order to extend the applications of ARB materials, reproducibility and reliability in their mechanical properties are some of the critical challenges. Since the microstructure determines the mechanical properties, the reliability in the mechanical properties depends on structural features like homogeneity and morphology. There are several reports in the literature on the microstructure homogeneity in UFG materials fabricated by SPD [10-12]. Recently, Kamikawa et al. [13] showed that the structure of ARB-processed ultralow-carbon

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

IF steel without lubrication is macroscopically heterogeneous. In addition, a considerable local variation in the morphology of microstructure and in boundary populations has been observed in ECAP-processed copper and commercially-pure aluminum [14].

Recently, a novel method based on the ARB process was introduced to fabricate nanostructured Al/B₄C composite sheets [15]. This method, which was named as cross accumulative roll bonding (CARB) process, can potentially affect the morphologies of the specimen microstructure. This work aims to compare the microstructure and mechanical properties of UFG aluminum specimens prepared by ARB and CARB.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Sample preparation

1100 aluminum alloy strips, annealed at 623 K, with the length of 200 mm, the width of 200 mm, and the thickness of 1 mm, were used as the raw material. The strips were degreased in acetone and scratch brushed at first. To process by CARB, two strips were stacked over each other and roll-bonded with a draft percentage of 50 % reduction at room temperature. The roll-bonded strip was cut into two strips and after degreasing and brushing, they were stacked over each other and rotated 90° around the normal direction (ND) axis (see Fig. 1). The rotated strip was roll-bonded with a draft percentage of 50 % reduction again. In fact, in this step, the strip was roll-bonded along the transverse direction (TD) of the prior stage. The last step of the process was repeated to eight passes without annealing between each pass. For comparison, the strips were produced by the ARB process, without any rotation between successive passes.

2.2. Sample characterization

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

The density of the specimens was measured by the Archimedes water immersion method. To evaluate the bonding condition of the processed sheets, the optical microscopy examination of the samples was conducted. All of the optical microstructures were obtained from the rolling direction–normal direction plane of the samples. A scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL-JSM 6340F) was also used to observe the microstructure of the as-received material. The grain structure of the ARB- and CARB-processed samples was also evaluated by a transmission electron microscope (TEM, Philips-FEG, operating at 200 kV). To do so, thin foils parallel to the rolling direction (rolling direction–normal direction or RD–ND plane) were prepared by the twin-jet polishing technique using a solution of 400 ml HNO₃ and 800 ml CH₄OH.

Tensile test specimens were machined from the rolled sheets, according to the 1/5 scale of the JIS-No.5 specimen, oriented along the rolling direction. The gauge length and width of the tensile test specimens were 10 and 5 mm, respectively. The tensile tests were carried out at ambient temperature and at a nominal strain rate of $8.3 * 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ by an Instron tensile testing machine. The total elongation of the specimens was also measured from the difference in the gage length before and after testing.

3. Results and discussion

The relative density of the CARB-processed strips vs. the number of the CARB pass is shown in Fig. 2, where the relative densities were calculated relative to the density of the as-received sheet. The observed decreasing trend is attributed to the increase in the level of discontinuities, due to the increase in the number of the strip interfaces and thereby unbonded points by increasing the CARB pass. On the contrary, it has been reported that for CARB-processed Al-B₄C composites, the relative density is enhanced by increasing CARB passes

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

[16]. This difference suggests that in the latter case, compared to the metallic aluminum samples, the improvement in the reinforcement distribution uniformity (leading to the decrease in structural defects inside particle clusters) prevails over the increase in the number of the sheet interfaces.

Fig. 3 shows the typical optical images of the RD–ND planes of the CARB-processed aluminum sheets at one, five, and eight passes, where the last interfaces are indicated by arrows. It can be seen that, in the first rolling passes, the interface of the strips (Al strip/Al strip) is completely visible, since the welding efficiency is low and consequently the level of unbonded interfaces is high. Therefore, the density of the strip at the first pass is lower than that of the as-received strip. By increasing the rolling passes, new interfaces are introduced into the sample, thereby decreasing the density. However, it is noticeable that by progression of the process, the weld efficiency of the previous interfaces is increased and discontinuities are decreased, so that the interfaces, except the last interfaces, will become almost invisible. Typically, after eight passes, 2^{8-1} interfaces are produced; however, only a few unbonded parts of interfaces are seen in this specimen (Fig. 3c). Indeed, as pointed out in Ref. [17], the radial pressure and the tangential shear stress of rolling allow the material to flow in different direction, thereby improving the bonding quality of interfaces introduced in the previous passes.

The TEM micrographs and corresponding selected area diffraction (SAD) patterns taken from the RD-ND planes of the ARB- and CARB-processed specimens of the eighth pass are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen, the structure of the annealed strips is changed from an initial state of equiaxed grains, with an average size of about 9 μm determined by the intercept method (Fig. 5), into a final state of pancake-shaped, ultrafine grains aligned in the RD. According to Refs. [3-8,18], a typical dislocation cellular structure is created in initial

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

passes. The structure at this stage contains a mixture of deformed and non-deformed grains with some dislocation tangles, since plastic deformation is inherently inhomogeneous at the microscopic level. By increasing ARB passes, the dislocation density is increased and the cell size becomes finer, leading to ultrafine subgrains divided by dislocation walls. The fraction of the ultrafine grains is increased by increasing ARB passes, so that in final passes the specimen is filled with ultrafine grains surrounded by clear high-angle boundaries, as is clear in Fig. 4.

There are two kinds of boundaries in ARB-processed materials [19], (i) lamellar boundaries which are almost parallel to the rolling plane and (ii) short transverse boundaries interconnecting the lamellar boundaries. In this study, the lamellar boundary spacing along ND (d_2 ; the thickness of the elongated UFGs) and the boundary spacing along RD (d_1 ; the length of the elongated UFGs) were measured by the linear interception method at eight passes. The average lamellar boundary spacing and the mean boundary spacing for the ARB-processed specimen were about 345 and 790 nm, respectively, while they were about 360 and 575 nm in the CARB-processed specimen, respectively. The ratio of the boundary spacing along RD to the lamellar boundary spacing (d_1/d_2) is known as “aspect ratio”. The aspect ratio in the ARB and CARB-processed specimens is about 2.3 and 1.6, respectively. In other words, the difference of the lamellar boundary spacing and interconnecting boundary spacing in the CARB specimens is less than that in the ARB specimens. That is, the structure is less elongated in the CARB specimens and the average grain size is lower, compared with the ARB samples.

In the first rolling pass, where grains have not yet been completely elongated along the RD, the ARB and CARB specimens have the same structure. After the first rolling pass, grains are gradually elongated along the RD. By increasing ARB passes, the length of the

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, Materials Science and Engineering: A, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

elongated grains is increased and their thickness is decreased, while the interconnecting boundaries subdivide the elongated grains into smaller grains. However, in the CARB process, the increase of the grain length is less than that for the ARB process, since the specimen is rotated 90° around ND after each pass, while the grain subdivision mechanism occurs similar to the ARB process. Thus, the spacing between the interconnecting boundaries in the CARB process becomes lower than that in the ARB process. Because the lamellar boundaries are perpendicular to the RD in one pass, while they are parallel to RD in the next pass. However, in the ARB process, the lamellar boundaries are parallel to RD in all passes. Therefore, the rotation of the strips around the ND in the CARB process decreases the interconnecting boundary spacing and lamellar boundary spacing. On the other hand, the structural evolution can be affected by two others parameters: (1) redundant shear strain due to friction between the materials and rolls and (2) scratch brushing effect that introduces shear on the sample surface. In the ARB process, the direction of the redundant shear strain and brushing is along the RD for all passes; however, that in the CARB process is varied by changing the RD, which may affect the structural evolution.

Tables 1 and 2 list the tensile results of the Al strips produced by the ARB and CARB processes, respectively. The tensile behavior of the produced strips is affected by compromising among various parameters, such as bonding quality between the Al layers, strain hardening (dislocation strengthening), and grain boundary strengthening, as explained below:

1. Bonding quality between the Al layers (interlayer bond strength): As Fig. 3 shows, some unbonded zones can be observed between the Al layers, so that the interfaces include a considerable amount of discontinuities. These defects develop a significant stress concentration and triaxial stresses within the matrix, thereby decreasing the yield stress and

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

elongation. By progression of the processes, the bonding between the layers and thereby the mechanical properties are improved. On the other hand, as Fig. 2 indicates, the decrease of the relative density is indicative of an increase in discontinuities and porosity, which has a deteriorating effect on the mechanical properties. Anyways, the evolution of the mechanical properties by increasing passes suggests that the latter effect has not a dominant contribution.

2. Strain hardening: In initial passes, where the dislocation density progressively increases as a result of plastic deformation, strain hardening plays a main role in strengthening and decreases the tensile elongation by increasing passes [3,4,20-23].

3. Grain boundary strengthening: The gradual evolution of the grain structure and the formation of ultrafine grains and nanostructured subgrains by progression of the ARB and CARB processes, particularly in the final passes, significantly increase the strength and elongation [20-25].

Supposing the similar contributions of the first two effects to the mechanical properties for the ARB and CARB samples, the higher strength of the CARB samples is attributed to their finer grain size, compared with the ARB samples, according to the Hall–Petch equation [26].

4. Conclusions

In this study, strips of a coarse-grained, commercially-pure aluminum were ARB- and CARB-processed to eight passes, and then their structures and mechanical properties were compared. The results are summarized as follows:

1. By increasing rolling passes, the relative density of the CARB specimens decreased.
2. UFG structures were formed in the samples highly-deformed by both of the ARB and CARB processes to eight passes.

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

3. The boundary aspect ratio in the CARB specimen was lower than that in the ARB specimen, i.e. grains in the former were less elongated.

4. The tensile strength of the CARB-processed specimens was higher than that of the ARB-processed specimens.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the research board of Shiraz University of technology for the financial support and provision of research facilities used in this work.

References

- [1] B. Cherukuri, T.S. Nedkova, R. Srinivasan, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 410–411 (2005) 394–397.
- [2] N.A. Smirnova, V.I. Levit, V.I. Pilyugin, R.I. Kuznetsov, L.S. Davydova, V.A. Sazonova, *Phys. Met. Metall.* 61 (1986) 127–134.
- [3] Y. Saito, N. Tsuji, H. Utsunomiya, T. Sakai, R.G. Hong, *Scr. Mater.* 39 (1998) 1221–1227.
- [4] Y. Saito, H. Utsunomiya, N. Tsuji, T. Sakai, *Acta Mater.* 47 (1999) 579–583.
- [5] N. Tsuji, R. Uejji, Y. Saito, *Mater. Jpn.* 39 (2000) 961–965.
- [6] S.H. Lee, Y. Saito, N. Tsuji, H. Utsunomiya, T. Sakai, *Scr. Mater.* 46 (2002) 281–285.
- [7] Q. Liu, N. Hansen, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* 454 (1998) 2555–2591.
- [8] N. Hansen, D.J. Jensen, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. A* 357 (1999) 1447–1469.
- [9] N. Hansen, *Metall. Trans. A* 32 (2001) 2917–2935.
- [10] B.L. Li, N. Tsuji, N. Kamikawa, *Mater Sci Eng A* 423 (2006) 331–342.
- [11] C. Xu, Z. Horita, T.G. Langdon, *Acta Mater.* 56 (2008) 5168–5176.
- [12] A. Ma, Y. Nishida, K. Suzuki, I. Shigematsu, N. Saito, *Scr. Mater.* 52 (2005) 433–437.

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

- [13] N. Kamikawa, T. Sakai, N. Tsuji, *Acta Mater.* 55 (2007) 5873–5888.
- [14] O.V. Mishin, D.J. Jensen, N. Hansen, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 342 (2003) 320–328.
- [15] M. Alizadeh, *Mater. Lett.* 64 (2010) 2641–2643.
- [16] A.H. Yaghtin, E. Salahinejad, A. Khosravifard, *Int. J. Miner. Metall. Mater.* 19 (2012) 951-956.
- [17] M. Alizadeh, M.H. Paydar, *Mater. Des.* 30 (2009) 82–86.
- [18] S.H. Lee, Y. Saito, T. Sakai, H. Utsunomiya, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 325 (2002) 228–235.
- [19] X. Huang, N. Tsuji, N. Hansen, Y. Minamino, *Mater. Sci. Eng., A* 340 (2003) 265–271.
- [20] N. Tsuji, Y. Ito, Y. Saito, Y. Minamino, *Scr. Mater.* 47 (2002) 893–899.
- [21] M. Alizadeh, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 528 (2010) 578–582.
- [22] M. Alizadeh, M.H. Paydar, *J. Alloys Compd.* 492 (2010) 231–235.
- [23] M. Alizadeh, M.H. Paydar, *J. Alloys Compd.* 477 (2009) 811–816.
- [24] X. Huang, N. Kamikawa, N. Hansen, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 483-484 (2008) 102–104.
- [25] N. Hansen, X. Huang, R. Ueji, N. Tsuji, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 387-389 (2004) 191–194.
- [26] W.S. Miller, F.J. Humphreys, *Scr Metall* 21 (1991) 33–38.

Figures




Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the strip rotation around the ND in the CARB process.




Fig. 2. Relative density of the strips produced by the CARB process.

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>




Fig. 3. Optical micrographs of the sample after: one (a), five (b), and eight (c) CARB passes (last interfaces are indicated by arrows).

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>




Fig. 4. TEM micrographs and SAD patterns of the Al strips after eight ARB (a) and CARB (b) passes.




Fig. 5. SEM micrograph of the as-received Al sample after annealing.

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

Table:

Table 1. Tensile test results of the Al strips produced by the ARB process.

No. of Passes	σ_y (MPa)	σ_u (MPa)	Elongation (%)
0 (Before ARB)	65	100	33
1	161	184	10
5	192	220	11
8	225	255	12

This is the accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following article:

M. Alizadeh, E. Salahinejad, *Processing of ultrafine-grained aluminum by cross accumulative roll-bonding*, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 595 (2014) 131-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.11.060>

Table 2. Tensile test results of the Al strips produced by the CARB process.

No. of Passes	σ_y (MPa)	σ_u (MPa)	Elongation (%)
0 (Before CARB)	65	100	33
1	158	184	10
5	215	244	13
8	239	275	13