An efficient procedure to design passive LCL-filters for active power filters
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Abstract

Variable high switching frequencies in grid-connected active power filters could lead to low harmonic performance and expose power systems to EMI issues too. A low-pass passive LCL-filter is usually used to interconnect a power electronic converter to a grid system. (This can also be done by using a passive L-section.) Nevertheless, designing an LCL-filter is not simple because of high compensating bandwidth and variable frequency modulations involved in active filters. This paper examines various effective conditions on designing this kind of passive LCL-filters. Then it will propose a comprehensive design procedure in which both the outcomes of the active filter and the network obligations are taken into account. Principal advantages of this proposal are reduction of power losses of the passive filter, lowering the converter’s switching ratings and the simplicity of the suggested design algorithm. A typical grid-connected shunt active filter is considered, and the needed interconnecting LCL-filter is designed using the proposed method. Then, the whole system is simulated with SIMULINK to verify the discussed procedure. Simulations confirm substantial reduction in power losses and converter current ratings.
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1. Introduction

Active power filters are gaining more popularity due to their ability of handling higher switching frequencies by using faster power switches (e.g. IGBT) and employing digital signal processors with ultra-fast processing time. Conventionally, an inductance L interconnects the converter of the active filter to the grid network, thus acting like a passive low-pass filter. The bigger the inductance L, the higher the attenuation of high frequency components will be. Another reason for increased popularity of passive LCL-filters is that they show higher harmonic performances compared to a single inductance. Improper design of LCL-filters could lead to some inefficiency in active filters’ performance [1], resonance, and instability amongst other possible consequences.

Various approaches are suggested to analyze passive LCL-filters for utilization as an interface between power electronic converters and grid systems [1]. However, deciding on the LCL parameters is not discussed there. Further, steady state and dynamic performance of passive L-filters are compared with those of LCL-filters when they interconnect power electronic converters to the power networks [2]. Also, in [3], the design of PI-controller is analyzed for the grid-connected converters, and so are the effects of the parameters of an LCL-filter on the performance of the controller.

A modern recursive method was suggested in [4] by trial and error method to decide on LCL parameters of a grid-connected voltage source inverter. But the design characteristics have no mechanism to prevent the possible increase of both power losses and the ratings of the switches. Also, selection of the initial values of the inductors is difficult at the start of the design process. Later, a method is proposed in [5] to select the initial values of inductors of the LCL-filter, for the purpose of simplifying the cited method in [4]. This proposal is based on using phasor relations, which is clearly not useful for active filter applications. In general, the whole method is still complex. Further, LCL-filters for grid-connected distributed generations are considered in [6] by focusing on the ratio of the two inductances on the two arms of the filter along with the relation of this ratio to the capacitance of the passive filter.

It should be noted, however, that the design of an LCL-filter for the grid-connected active filter applications is not reported in the literatures. Proper design of a passive LCL-filter for active-filtering applications is a crucial and delicate task. The reason is that control and modulation of active filter applications are different from those of voltage regulators, reactive power controllers, speed controllers of electric rotating machineries, or renewable energy applications. Since active filters are ideally designed to operate within a possible wide frequency bandwidth of the load, design and configuration of the parameters of the LCL-filter is a sensitive action.

This situation becomes more challenging when active filters are modulated with a typical hysteresis-like current-control technique, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The inductance and the instantaneous voltage along with the hysteresis-band determine variable duration...
switching instants. Therefore, although exhibiting fast dynamic approach to the reference waveform target, a variable frequency modulator is introduced here. Moreover, due to the switch-on and switch-off transitions, high frequency spectra cause electromagnetic interference that calls for a proper electromagnetic interference (EMI) filter design. It is noticeable that these kinds of emissions can be attenuated by appropriate physical development of inductances and capacitance of the LCL-filter [7]. Considering Fig. 1(b), the performance goal of the passive LCL-filter designed for an active filter can be summarized as lowering high frequency distortions and disturbances on $i_2$ due to the switching as much as possible. This depends on the compensating bandwidth of the active filter and poles of the LCL-filter in order to avoid instability. Other problems also are taken into consideration such as power losses on stabilizing resistance, cost and ratings of the switches.

This paper contributes a comprehensive analysis of designing a passive LCL-filter for the shunt active filter that is modulated by using variable switching frequency techniques. Different aspects of designing an LCL-filter are discussed, and a design procedure is subsequently presented for passive LCL-filters. First, the total required inductance is decided on, such that the switching frequency remains lower than a certain upper-limit. Then, the resonance frequency is discussed wherein two design parameters have to be decided on: the inductance ratio and the capacitance of the LCL-filter. Then the stabilizing resistance of the passive LCL-filter is selected, which is worked out in accordance to the power losses of the passive filter. Proper design of these parameters is also discussed, that is crucially important for the efficiency and converter current rating. Furthermore, the insertion loss related to the EMI is analytically discussed. To verify the proposed design algorithm, a passive LCL-filter is designed for a typical grid-connected active power filter. It is then simulated with SIMULINK. Simulations together with the provided comparative results confirm the usefulness of the suggested procedure on lowering the power losses and current rating of the switches of the active filter.

2. Design considerations

To design a passive LCL-filter for active-filtering applications, the following characteristics could be taken into consideration:

- **Cost of the total inductor:** Considering Fig. 1(b), let us define two parameters ($L_d$ and $k$) for the two inductances of the LCL-filter ($L_1$ and $L_2$) as below:

$$L_d = L_1 + L_2, \quad L_2 = kL_1$$

The total inductance $L_d$ sets an upper-limit to the switching frequency such that a bigger $L_d$ is related to a lower switching frequency. Therefore, a minimum inductance is worked out using the parameters of both the converter and the switching modulation technique to limit the switching frequency to a certain value. Then, this minimum inductance is slightly increased to get a total inductance $L_d$ that establishes a switching frequency margin to the upper-limit. Thus, the total inductance obtained proves to be physically smaller and thus, less expensive.

- **Resonance frequency of the filter:** The frequency bandwidth in active filters is wide (defined by various harmonic standards). They are principally different from those of grid-connected reactive compensators. In this design, however, the resonance frequency ($f_{res}$), as the nonzero poles of the admittance seen from the ac/dc converter (see (2)), depends on the highest frequency component of the load which is compensated by an active filter.

- **Minimization of stabilizing resistors:** The equivalent impedance of the passive LCL-filter approaches zero at the resonance frequency and it will consequently lower the stability margin of the system down. To avoid instability, a resistor $R_d$ is used in series with the capacitor. The resistance $R_d$ is normally selected in proportion to the capacitive reactance of the filter at the resonance frequency ($1/2\pi f_{res} C$) [3]. This resistance can be chosen such that it minimizes the power dissipation too.

- **Maximizing the attenuation at switching frequency:** Using Fig. 1(b), three transfer functions can be worked out that describe the passive filter behavior:

$$\begin{align*}
Y_{12}(s) = \frac{i_1(s)}{v(s)} &= \frac{(1/L_1)s^2 + (1/L_2C)}{(1/L_1C)} \\
Y_{21}(s) = \frac{i_2(s)}{v(s)} &= \frac{s^2 + (L_1 + L_2/L_1L_2C)}{(1/L_1C)} \\
h_{22}(s) = \frac{i_2(s)}{i_1(s)} &= \frac{(L_2/C)}{(s^2 + (1/L_2C))}
\end{align*}$$

The required performance from the passive LCL-filter can be assessed under different conditions. For high frequencies (e.g. switching frequency $f_{sw}$), the admittance $Y_{21}(s)$ approaches $(1/L_1s)$.

This shows the importance of choosing a proper value for the inductance $L_1$. Considering the IEC standard 61000-3-4 [1], the amplitudes of the currents above the 33rd harmonics have to be smaller than 0.6% of the fundamental harmonic. This can be applied to the passive filter to attenuate harmonic currents at the switching frequency $\sigma_{sw}$ with the condition:

$$|Y_{21}(s = j\sigma_{sw})| \leq 0.006$$

Fig. 1. (a) A typical current-control of a voltage-sourced inverter using the hysteresis modulation technique, (b) an active filter consists of an LCL passive filter that interconnects a VSI to the grid system.
It is also necessary to decrease both $|Y_{12}(s=j\omega)|$ and $|h_{22}(s=j\omega)|$ to the smallest possible value.

2.1. Inductance ratio

To design two inductances for the LCL-filter, the following precautions must be taken in order to develop a proper passive filter for the active filter.

- The admittance $|Y_{12}(s)|$ in (2) includes three poles ($p$) and two zeros ($z$), while zeros are related to the nonzero poles as follows:

$$z = \pm j \frac{1}{L_2 C}, \quad p = \pm j \frac{L_1 + L_2}{L_1 L_2 C}$$

$$p = k' z, \quad k' = \sqrt{1 + \frac{L_2}{L_1}} = \sqrt{1 + k}$$

(4)

It can be seen that when the inductance ratio ($k = (L_2/L_1)$) becomes bigger, then the nonzero poles distance away from zeros. This would increase the overshoot amplitude and thus influences the performance of the filter. On the other hand, lowering $k$ will decrease the overshoot inversely and proportional to $k'$.

- Current ripples of the capacitive branch ($i_{HF}$) passes through the stabilizing resistor $R_d$, which will lead to power losses equal to $R_d i_{HF}^2$. While the dc/ac converter supplies the dissipated power on $R_d$, the dc voltage controller absorbs active power from the source in order to compensate the dc voltage drop. This will eventually cause an increase of the source current. Meanwhile, the low order harmonics should be highly attenuated by $L_1$, and the higher order of harmonics by $L_2$ and $C$. Since the magnitudes of high order harmonics are normally small, this will considerably lowers the power dissipation on $R_d$.

- Let us call the loop containing the switching converter, $L_1$ and the capacitive branch of the LCL-filter in Fig. 1(b) as the internal loop. When $L_2 > L_1$, then high frequency current oscillations increase through the internal loop. This increases the ratings of the switches and at the same time raises the cost and switching losses. To avoid over-current limitation, the designer can use parallel switches.

- The transfer function $h_{22}(s)$ in (2) suggests that choosing big values for $L_2$ provides higher attenuation for harmonics of the output current $i_2$ in comparison with the input current $i_1$. Thus, the relationship $L_2 > L_1$ (or $k > 1$) has already been appeared in literatures (e.g. [4]).

- Choosing the ratio $k$ has no special effect on transfer function $Y_{21}(s)$ in (2).

- An LCL-filter comprises more energy storage elements than a passive L-filter, and this slows down the dynamic characteristics of the filter. Hence, switching frequencies are more limited for an LCL-filter with respect to a dynamically faster L-filter. Also, when an LCL-filter is designed using $k < 1 (L_2 > L_1)$, then the switching frequencies involved in current-controlled modulation become lower than those of $k < 1 (L_2 > L_1)$. This could be advantageous since very fast switches are not necessary, while it can also be argued that employing fast switches is, theoretically, advantageous.

Considering above points, choosing big values for $L_2$ lowers $I_2$ distortion; although this constitutes higher cost and power losses for the system compared to smaller $L_2$. Thus, the relationship $L_2 > L_1$ can be suggested to achieve high efficiency and to avoid possible overrating of the switches by choosing a low value for $k$.

2.2. Capacitance and resistance

The following points should be considered in order to decide on the values of the capacitance and resistance of the LCL-filter:

- Two transfer functions $Y_{12}(s)$ and $h_{22}(s)$ in (2) show that bigger values of capacitance $C$ will further attenuates the higher frequencies.

- It is suggested in [3] that the value of damping resistor $R_d$ should be chosen proportional to the capacitive reactance at resonance frequency ($1/(2\pi f_{res})$).

- Moreover, it is necessary to design both $i_{HF}$ and $R_d$ as low as possible to avoid additional power losses imposed to the source by $R_d$.

- Transfer functions given by (2) are obtained when $R_d = 0$. Nevertheless, when nonzero values are selected for $R_d$, the poles will contain both real and imaginary parts. Imaginary parts of the poles are decreased toward zero by increasing $R_d$. Hence, choosing a big value for $R_d$ could lead to a pure real pole, which acts as a pure attenuator for all frequencies. This would result in losing the filtering operation of the LCL-filter based on the frequency modulation.

3. Design procedure

Considering (1), the minimum value of the total inductance is derived for an inductive filter in order to limit the maximum switching frequency. Those active filters which use a hysteresis-like switching modulation have a variable switching frequency within $[f_{min}, f_{max}]$. Maximum switching frequency that is given for the hysteresis technique is as follows [8]:

$$f_{max} = \frac{V_{dc}}{8hL}$$

where $V_{dc}$ is the dc-link voltage, $h$ is the hysteresis-band (e.g. 5% of peak current) and $L$ is the inductance of a first-order inductive filter. Using (5), the minimum required inductance is equal to $L_{min} = (V_{dc}/8hf_{max})$, which is a boundary value. Hence, to make sure $f_{max}$ is properly limited, the total inductance $L_d$ can be chosen as a multiple (bigger than one) of $L_{min}$ as below:

$$L_d = \alpha L_{min}$$

Further, it is recommended in [4] that $L_d$ should be smaller than 0.1 P.U. in order to limit the voltage drop on the total inductance. Thus, using (6) the upper-limit for $\alpha$ can be given by

$$\alpha \leq \frac{0.1 \text{P.U.}}{L_{min} \text{P.U.}}$$

(7)

3.1. Deciding on LCL parameters

Moreover, the resonance frequency $f_{res}$ is suggested in [9] to be chosen within $[5-10]f_s$, $[1/2 f_{sw}]$ for those static compensators that work mainly with synchronous frequency $f_s$. But this chosen lower-limit is not appropriate for active filters, due to the fact that it falls within the compensating bandwidth of active filters. The upper-limit of active filters depends on a variable switching frequency range within $f_{sw} \in [f_{min}, f_{max}]$ depending on the modulating hysteresis-band. Therefore, the decisive region for the resonance frequency of active filters can be suggested as below:

$$f_{res} \in [1.2-1.3 f_{max}, \frac{1}{2} f_{min}]$$

(8)

where the frequency $f_{max}$ represents the compensating bandwidth at the highest harmonic order of the load that is to be compensated by the active power filter. Then, using the nonzero poles of $Y_{12}(s)$ in...
behind a series inductance which is in line with the foregoing conclusions on choosing larger attenuation will result in the spectra of the EMI conductive noise, that is produced by the passive.

As was discussed in Section 2.2, the capacitance $C$ can be as large as possible. Also, for a certain $k$ and a fixed $L_d$, the bigger the inductance ratio $k$, the lower the resonance frequency will be. (This can be shown mathematically because when $k \in [0,1]$, derivative of $|\omega k|$ with respect to $k$ will always be negative.) Therefore, a desired resonance frequency can be obtained by selecting an appropriate $k$ with a large $C$. One can also apply (2) to both $Y_{12}(s)$ and $Y_{21}(s)$ introduced by (2).

### 3.2. The EMI noise suppression

Conductive EMI emitted by semiconductor switches is that of a pulse train as differential-mode noise, which consists of repetitive switch-on and switch-off transitions (including reverse recovery time of diodes). Moreover, the EMI of power electronics is qualified as broadband, paving the way for defining the spectrum of a single impulse instead of performing a discrete harmonic analysis of the pulse train [7]. In general, the EMI spectra of power semiconductor devices are below a few megarhz.

Thus, one can derive the $ABCD$ parameters for Fig. 1(b), where the passive $LCL$-filter is connected to the converter from one end and to an equivalent power system bus from the other end. Also, assume the converter-end equivalent impedance is zero, and the power system is represented by an independent voltage source behind a series inductance $L_g$. Then, the insertion loss (IL) for the described filter combination can be simplified on the basis of the formula given in [7] as follows:

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{IL}(s) = 20 \log \frac{A(s) \times L_g s - B(s) + C(s) \times L_g s \times 0 - D(s) \times 0}{L_g s + 0} \\
A(s) = 1 + L_1 C s^2, \quad B(s) = -s(L_1 + L_2 C s^2)
\end{array} \right. \quad (10)
$$

In above formula, the zeros represent the converter internal impedance. Replacing $s$ with $j\omega$, and substituting $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ in $\text{IL}$ will result in

$$
\left| \text{IL}(s = j\omega) \right| = 10 \log \left[ \left( \frac{1 - \frac{L_1}{L_g} - L_1 C \omega^2}{L_g s} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{L_2}{L_g} C \omega^2 \right)^2 \right] \quad (11)
$$

For the frequencies in the conductive EMI disturbance mode (above 150 kHz), the given $\text{IL}$ in (11) can be well approximated by

$$
\left| \text{IL}(s = j\omega) \right| \approx 20 \log (L_1 C \omega^2) \quad (12)
$$

This clearly describes the effect of $L_1$ on the IL. The bigger the inductance $L_1$ and the capacitance $C$, the bigger will be the resultant $\text{IL}$ be that is produced by the passive $LCL$-filter. This is to say that more attenuation will result in the spectra of the EMI conductive noise, which is in line with the foregoing conclusions on choosing larger capacitance $C$ and larger $L_1$ under $k < 1$ constraint.

### 3.3. Summarized design procedure

In brief, the design algorithm of the passive $LCL$-filter can be described as follows:

1. Consider a switching frequency region that is based on the defined active filter bandwidth $f_{\text{max}}$, the modulation tech-

- $f_{\text{res}} = \frac{1 + k}{2\pi \sqrt{k L_d C}} \quad (9)$

Relationships (8) and (9) impose further limitations on the selection of $f_{\text{res}}$, $k$ and $C$.

2. Choose a compensating algorithm (e.g. like (14)) with which the active filter would be able to satisfy pre-determined objectives. Simulate the chosen algorithm to find the minimum peak-to-peak current of the active filter amongst the three phases. A small fraction of this current (e.g. 5%) can be used as the hysteresis-band $h$. Then, calculate $L_{\text{min}}$ and $L_4$ using (5)–(7) by selecting a suitable $\alpha$.

3. Calculate the region of resonance frequency using (8), and plot $f_{\text{res}}$ in (9) versus different values for $k$ and $C$. Select the biggest possible value for $C$ that gives the lowest possible resonance frequency. Then, find a feasible region for $k$ using the following simplified equation obtained from combining (8) and (9):

$$
\begin{cases}
    k^2 - (m^2 - 2)k + 1 = 0 \\
    m = 2\pi f_{\text{res}} b \sqrt{L_d C}
\end{cases} \quad (13)
$$

where the frequency $f_{\text{res-b}}$ is replaced with either boundary of $f_{\text{res}}$. This will lead to determination of the upper and lower limits for $k$. Choose a value for $k$ close to the calculated upper-limit, and hence determine $L_1$, $L_2$ and $f_{\text{res}}$.

4. Evaluate three transfer functions $Y_{12}(s)$, $Y_{21}(s)$ and $h_{22}(s)$ introduced in (2) at the switching frequency using the parameters obtained in the previous steps. If the standard expressed by (3) holds for both $Y_{12}(s)$ and $Y_{21}(s)$, then the design of the $LCL$-filter will be done with. Otherwise, the design procedure has to be continued on using the third step by changing the design parameters $C$ and $k$.

### 4. Designing an $LCL$-filter for a typical power system

Assume the three-phase 50 Hz power system of Fig. 1(b) with three symmetrical voltages of 200 V (RMS). Also, assume that an asymmetrical three-phase load of 16 kVA is connected to the supply, consisting of three single-phase diode rectifiers. Fig. 2 shows the load currents. It is intended to employ an active power filter. Inclusion of the active filter is to compensate for the load harmonics (initial conditions of all inductances at $t = 0$ are equal to zero). The bigger the ratio of dc-link voltage of the active filter over the magnitude of load-terminal voltage (converter gain), the larger will be the total inductance connecting the converter to the network. While this gain is suggested to be 1.05 in [10], it is proposed to be raised up to 2 in [11,12]. Let us assume this gain to be about 1.53 for this design, yielding a dc voltage of $V_{\text{dc}} = 1.53 \times \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{3} \times 200 \approx 750$ V. An optimized solution is introduced in [13], which is used in this paper in order to generate three-phase current references for the active filter $i_{\text{ref}}$ as follows:

$$
i_{\text{ref}}(t) = I(t) - \frac{P(t)}{V(t) \cdot V(t)} \cdot V(t) \quad (14)
$$

where $I(t)$ contains the three-phase load currents, $V(t)$ includes the three-phase load-terminal voltages, $P(t)$ is the average active power consumed by the load and the dot product $\dot{V}(t)$ represents the
well-known definition of instantaneous active power of the load [13]. The second-term in the right hand side of (14) is the desirable optimal source current that is subtracted from the load current in order to achieve the required compensating reference current (Appendix 1 details derivation of (14)).

4.1. Total inductance \(L_d\)

Further, assume the exact currents of the active filter trace that the optimized references introduced by (14) using a hysteresis current-control strategy. In practice, employing IGBT power switches would limit the maximum practical switching frequency. Let us choose the maximum modulation frequency \(f_{\text{max}} = 9\) kHz, and the region for the modulation switching frequency as \(f_{\text{sw}} \in [6, 9]\) kHz. The compensating rule of (14) was simulated with SIMULINK. Simulations show that the minimum peak-to-peak current of the active filter is equal to 60 A, belonging to phase b. Also, assume the peak-to-peak of the ripples equals 10% times 60 A, resulting in a hysteresis-band of \(h = 3\) A. Hence, the minimum required inductance can be calculated by using (5) as below:

\[
L_{\text{min}} = \frac{V_{\text{dc}}}{8hf_{\text{max}}} = \frac{750}{8 \times 3 \times 9} = 3.47\ \text{mH} \tag{15}
\]

Choosing \(\alpha = 1.3\) will result in \(L_d = 4.5\) mH, according to (6). It is noticeable that the maximum switching frequency is now limited to about 6.95 kHz for the hysteresis modulating strategy using (5). Also, \(f_{\text{res}}\) is affected by the chosen \(k\) and \(C\) based on (9), which is described by the following subsection.

4.2. Resonance frequency \(f_{\text{res}}, \text{inductance ratio } k \text{ and capacitance } C\)

Assume the highest harmonic order for the compensation is the 40th (i.e. \(f_{\text{cmax}} = 40 \times 50 = 2\) kHz). Considering (8), applying a factor 1.25 to \(f_{\text{cmax}}\) results in a lower limit of 2.5 kHz along with an upper-limit of 3 kHz (0.5 \(f_{\text{cmin}} = 3\) kHz) for the resonance frequency. Also, if the total inductance \(L_d = 4.5\) mH is replaced in (9), then \(f_{\text{res}}\) along with \(k\) and \(C\) are subjected to the following constraints:

\[
\begin{align*}
2500 & \leq f_{\text{res}} \leq 3000 \\
\frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{0.0045}{kC}} & = 2.37\frac{(k + 1)}{\sqrt{kC}} \\
\end{align*} \tag{16}
\]

There are three unknown parameters in (16), while both available relationships are depicted in Fig. 3(a) and (b). Combining these two relations will lead to a feasible region for \(k\) and \(C\). Using the described design procedure, a big \(C\) should be selected with a small \(k\) in the feasible region which would give the desired resonance frequency. Hence, a feasibly big capacitance can be chosen like \(C = 10\ \mu\text{F}\) using Fig. 3. Then, satisfying the resonance frequency region would lead to a feasible region for the inductance ratio \(k \in [0.0718, 0.1110]\) using (13). Therefore, a value for \(k\) is chosen close to 0.1110 from this region, i.e. \(k = 0.1\), resulting in \(f_{\text{res}} = 2607\) Hz, \(L_1 = 4.1\) mH and \(L_2 = 0.4\) mH.

4.3. Transfer functions

It is necessary for the transfer functions \(Y_{12}(s)\) and \(Y_{21}(s)\), described by (2), to satisfy the IEC standard 61000-3-4 [1] shown by (3) for the switching frequency region \([6000, 9000]\) Hz. Fig. 4(a)
Fig. 5. Bode diagrams related to magnitudes of low-pass passive filters, (a) L-filter compared to LCL-filter, and (b) two LCL-filters (the case $L_1 > L_2$ compared to the case $L_1 < L_2$).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply phase voltage</td>
<td>200 Vrms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid frequency</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APF side LCL-filter inductance, $L_1$</td>
<td>4.1 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid side LCL-filter inductance, $L_2$</td>
<td>0.4 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL-filter capacitor, $C$</td>
<td>10 μF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL-filter damping resistor, $R_d$</td>
<td>20 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APF switching frequency</td>
<td>[6, 9] kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APF dc-link capacitors (each one)</td>
<td>2 mF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APF dc-link voltage, $V_{dc}$</td>
<td>750 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Reference current of the active filter $i_r$ along with the input current $i_1$ and output current $i_2$ of the LCL-filter at phase a, (a) when $L_1 > L_2$, and (b) when $L_1 < L_2$.

Fig. 7. Comparison of the remained high frequency components of the active filter flown into the LC-section of the LCL-filter for two cases, (a) when $L_1 > L_2$, and (b) when $L_1 < L_2$.

illustrates the magnitude of the admittance $Y_{12}(s)$ for various values of $k$ and $C$, when the switching frequency is fixed at 6 kHz (modulating frequencies higher than 6 kHz give lower magnitudes). It can be seen that for the selected values of $k$ and $C$ (0.1 and 10 μF) the magnitude of this admittance satisfies (3) ($|Y_{12}(j2\pi \times 6000)|=0.005945$). Similarly, the transfer function $Y_{21}(s)$ is shown by Fig. 4(b). Magnitude of $Y_{21}(s)$ is also in agreement with (3) at 6 kHz ($|Y_{21}(j2\pi \times 6000)|=0.001093$).

Furthermore, Fig. 4(c) shows $|h_{22}(s)|$ at 6 kHz versus $k$ and $C$. For the selected values of $k$ and $C$, the output current $i_2$ is attenuated by about five and a half times with respect to the input current $i_1$ ($|h_{22}(j2\pi \times 6000)|=0.1843$). This suggests that high frequency components are considerably attenuated despite choosing $L_1 > L_2$. But this has the advantage of lower oscillations with high magnitudes at the converter-side of the LCL-filter (i.e. this improves the efficiency (see Section 2.1)). Designed parameters of the above LCL-filter satisfy all the discussed objectives. Meanwhile, for a certain LCL-design, if one or more chosen parameters disagree with the objectives, then different values for $k$ and $C$ are used to make a new design. This may be continued on until the desired design is obtained.

4.4. Comparison of the designed LCL-filter with equivalent L-filter

Let us compare the above designed LCL-filter with an L-filter in which the total inductances in both passive filters are identical. The attenuating resistance of the LCL-filter is assumed to be $R_d=3 \times (1/2\pi f_{ref}C)\approx 20$ Ω. Hence, the Bode diagrams of both passive filters are depicted in Fig. 5(a). Both diagrams introduce similar behavior for frequencies less than $f_{res}$ that will meet the design objectives. Moreover, the LCL-filter shows bigger attenuations than those of the L-filter for frequencies greater than $f_{res}$. Table 1 summarizes all the designed parameters including the parameters of both the active power filter and the power system.

5. Simulations and discussions

Consider the power system in Fig. 1(b) that comprises a three-phase power source supplying the three-phase currents shown by...
Fig. 2 to a non-linear load. An active filter is also connected across the load terminal to compensate load harmonics. The designed LCL-filter in Section 3 is used as its passive filter. This power system is simulated with SIMULINK to investigate the suitability of the proposed passive LCL-filter. Designed LCL-filter is utilized for two sets of parameters, the first being in accordance with the proposed method \((k = 0.1, L_1 > L_2)\), while the second will be in accordance with an extreme condition in which the two inductances are swapped \((k = 10, L_1 < L_2)\). These two cases are examined not only to justify the suggested design of the first case, but also to show the consequences of an ill-conceived LCL-filter on the performance of the whole active filter (e.g., the efficiency and EMI issues). Both cases are simulated and comparative discussions are presented.

5.1. Bode diagrams

Bode diagrams of the two cases are depicted in Fig. 5(b) in which \(R_0\) is equal to zero. When \(L_1 > L_2\), nonzero poles are closer to the zeros of the LCL-filter compared to those of the case \(L_1 < L_2\), resulting in smaller undesirable region for the filter. Additionally, better attenuation at higher frequencies will result for the case when \(L_1 > L_2\) is compared to \(L_1 < L_2\) case.

5.2. Simulation results

Reference currents are initially obtained using (14) for the active filter. Then, these references are traced by the exact currents of the active filter using a hysteresis current-control modulation technique. Simulations are introduced in Fig. 6(a) and (b) for both cases \(L_1 > L_2\) and \(L_1 < L_2\), respectively. Each picture includes reference current for phase a of the active filter \(i_r\), the internal current \(i_1\) and external current \(i_2\) of the LCL-filter. It is noticeable that both cases have identical references in which the RMS and maximum peak of the reference currents are 18 and 32 A, respectively. Also, the references are compared with the external currents \(i_2\) for the hysteresis pulse width modulator.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>The RMS current through (R_d) (A)</th>
<th>Three-phase power losses dissipated by (R_d) (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ((L_1 &gt; L_2))</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ((L_1 &lt; L_2))</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from Fig. 6(a) that the case \(L_1 > L_2\) nearly cancels frequency components available in \(i_r\) related to the switching frequency. Only a small part of high frequency components flows into the remaining \(L_2\)-section of the passive LCL-filter. On the other hand, Fig. 6(b) shows that the case \(L_1 < L_2\) includes considerable ripples on top of \(i_r\), rushing significant high frequency components as well as considerable low order harmonics into the remaining \(L_2\)-section.

5.2.1. Efficiency and rating of switches

Frequency components that flow into the \(L_2\)-section of the LCL-filter impose additional power losses to the active filter. This clearly lowers the efficiency of the filter, which is problematic in higher power levels. Fig. 7(a) introduces the high frequency components flowing into the capacitive branch when \(L_1 > L_2\). This current passes through the resistance \(R_d\) (1.6 A, RMS), leading to three-phase power losses about 170 W. This can be compared with the case \(L_1 < L_2\) which is illustrated in Fig. 7(b). Here, the RMS current through \(R_d\) is equal to 5.6 A, resulting in three-phase power losses of about 2100 W.

Table 2 summarizes the calculated RMS current flowing into capacitive branch together with the resultant three-phase power losses for both cases. While the RMS current of the first case \((L_1 > L_2)\) is 3.5 times lower than that of the second case \((L_1 < L_2)\), the resultant three-phase power losses of the second case is 12.35 times bigger than that of the first case. Thus, an ill-conceived LCL-filter design is subjected to both low and high frequency components and power losses bigger than those of the proposed method in the first case.

Moreover, the source current \(i_s\) after compensation is presented by Fig. 8 for both cases. While the RMS current of the source is equal to 38.9 A for the first case, the calculated RMS source current equals 46.8 A for the second case. This shows the RMS difference between the two cases to be about 7.9 A, lowering the RMS value about 17%. These pictures also show that the first case represents lower peak current about 11 A compared to the second case.

![Fig. 9. Insertion loss of the LCL-filter (showing the level of attenuation) at different frequencies for the two studied cases, (a) zooming out the frequencies up to 15 MHz, and (b) zooming in the frequencies up to 1 MHz.](image-url)

![Fig. 8. Comparison of the source current after compensation for two cases, \(L_1 > L_2\), and \(L_1 < L_2\).](image-url)
Furthermore, the peak current flowing through the switches of the active filter is 34 A in the first case and 55 A in the second case. This clearly shows the influence of a well-designed LCL-filter on the current ratings of the switches compared to the second case as an extreme situation. It is noticeable that both cases provide almost identical THD of about 2.8%.

5.2.2. The EMI issue

The IL of the LCL-filter is introduced by (11), and approximated by (12). Both relationships have been simulated with MATLAB for frequencies up to 15 MHz. Since the spectra of the EMI of the switching semiconductors are below a few MHz, the simulated frequency range covers the behavior of the LCL-filters under the EMI conductive noise. Fig. 9(a) shows the IL of the LCL-filter on the EMI conductive radio frequencies. This has been programmed with MATLAB, showing significant increase of more than 20 dB in the insertion loss of the well-designed LCL-filter.

Appendix A. Derivation of (9) and (14)

• Derivation of (9): Eq. (9) can be derived using the nonzero poles of (2) that is expressed in (4) like

\[
f_{\text{res}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{L_1 + L_2}{L_1 L_2 C}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1 + (L_2/L_1)}{L_2 C}}
\]

(1-1)

Multiplying both numerator and denominator of (1-1) by \(\sqrt{1 + (L_2/L_1)}\) results in

\[
f_{\text{res}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + (L_2/L_1))^2}{1 + (L_2/L_1)L_2 C}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + (L_2/L_1))^2}{(L_1 + L_2)(L_2/L_1)C}}
\]

(1-2)

Substitution of \((L_1 + L_2)/L_2\) with \(k\), defined by (1), finally gives (9) as follows:

\[
f_{\text{res}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1 + k^2}{L_2 k C}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 + k}{L_2 k C}
\]

(1-3)

• Derivation of (14): The following optimization problem minimizes the active power supplied by the source:

\[
\begin{align*}
& \text{minimize} & & (i_{a2}(t) - i_{q2}(t))^2 + (i_{b2}(t) - i_{q2}(t))^2 + (i_{c2}(t) - i_{q2}(t))^2 \\
& \text{subject to} & & i_{a2}(t)L_2 + i_{b2}(t)L_2 + i_{c2}(t)L_2 = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(1-4)

where \((i_a, i_b, i_c)\) and \((i_{a2}, i_{b2}, i_{c2})\) represent the load voltages and currents, respectively and \((i_{q2}, i_{q2})\) show the reactive part of the load currents that their contribution in generating active power is zero (shown by the constraint). Solving this combinatorial problem using the Lagrange method gives the solution given by (14).

Appendix B. List of mathematical symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCD</td>
<td>the ABCD parameters of the LCL-filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>the capacitance of the LCL-filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{fmax}</td>
<td>the highest harmonic of the load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{max}</td>
<td>maximum frequency of the modulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{min}</td>
<td>minimum frequency of the modulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{res}</td>
<td>the resonance frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{res-b}</td>
<td>the boundary resonance frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{s}</td>
<td>the synchronous frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{sw}</td>
<td>the switching frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hysteresis-band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{h2}</td>
<td>currents transfer ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{1}</td>
<td>the current through L_{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{2}</td>
<td>the current through L_{2}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$i_{HF}$ current ripples of the capacitive branch

$IL$ insertion loss

$k$ the ratio of inductances of the $LCL$-filter

$L_1$ the converter-end inductance of the $LCL$-filter

$L_2$ the network-end inductance of the $LCL$-filter

$L_d$ the total inductance of the passive $LCL$-filter

$L_g$ the source inductance

$L_{\text{min}}$ minimum required total inductance

$p$ pole

$R_d$ damping resistor

$v$ the converter voltage

$V_{dc}$ dc-link voltage of the APF

$Y_{12}$ admittance seen from the converter

$Y_{21}$ admittance transfer ratio related to $i_2$

$z$ zero
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