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## Independence

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be discrete random variables. We say $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are (mutually) independent if for all values $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1}=\alpha_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}=\alpha_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

## Limited Independence

$k$-wise independence: Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be discrete random variables. We say $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are $k$-wise independent if for every subset $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality at most $k$ and all values $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{s_{1}}=\alpha_{1}, \ldots, X_{s_{\ell}}=\alpha_{\ell}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{s_{i}}=\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

Special Case: Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $\{0,1\}$-valued random variables where for each $i$ we have $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=0\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=1\right)$. We say $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are $k$-wise independent if for every subset $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality at most $k$ and all values $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{s_{1}}=\alpha_{1}, \ldots, X_{s_{\ell}}=\alpha_{\ell}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell}
$$

Constructing $k$-wise independent $\{0,1\}$-valued random variables using little randomness

First Idea: Assume $n$ is even. We let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be random
(cyclic) shift of $\underbrace{1,0,1,0,1,0, \ldots, 1,0}_{n}$. We have
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=0\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$
Question: How much randomness is used in this construction?
Answer: $\log n$ bits

But $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are not independent. ©

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0\right)=0 \neq \frac{1}{4}
$$

Second Idea: [Pair-wise Independent Random Bits] Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}$ be mutually independent random bits. We construct $n=2^{m}-1$ random bits from $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}$. For each non-empty subset $S \subseteq[m]=\{1, \ldots, m\}$ we let

$$
X_{S}=\sum_{r \in S} Y_{r} \quad \bmod 2
$$

Claim: The random bits $\left\{X_{S}\right\}_{S \subseteq[m]}$ are pair-wise independent.
Proof: Exercise.


Conclusion: We can generate $n$ pairwise random bits from $\log n+1$ mutually independent random bits.

Third Idea: [Pair-wise Independent Random Numbers] Let $p$ be a prime where $p \geq n$. We choose the random numbers $a$ and $b$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ independently. We let

$$
X_{i}=(a i+b) \bmod p
$$



- $\forall i \in[n], \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=\alpha\right)=\frac{1}{p}$
- $\forall i, j \in[n], \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=\alpha_{1}, X_{j}=\alpha_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}$

Fourth Idea: [Third Idea Generalized] Consider the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ where $p$ is large enough. Let $Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{\ell}$ be mutually independent samples from $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. For $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we define

$$
X_{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} Y_{i} a^{i}=Y_{0}+Y_{1} a+Y_{2} a^{2}+\ldots+Y_{\ell} a^{\ell}
$$

Note all computations are done in the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$

Lemma: The random variables $\left\{X_{a}\right\}_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}$ are $(\ell+1)$-wise independent.

Claim 1: For $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{a}=b\right)=\frac{1}{p}$.
Proof: Proof by induction on $\ell$. The case $\ell=0$ is trivial.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{a}=b\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} Y_{i} a^{i}=b\right) \\
& =\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} Y_{i} a^{i}=b \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} Y_{i} a^{i}=c\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} Y_{i} a^{i}=c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{\ell} a^{\ell}=b-c\right) \frac{1}{p}
$$

(By induction hypothesis)
$=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{\ell} a^{\ell}=b-c\right)$
$=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p}$

Claim 2: For all $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{a_{0}}=b_{0}, \ldots, X_{a_{\ell}}=b_{\ell}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{\ell+1}}
$$

Proof Sketch: Note that when $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{\ell}$ are fixed, $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} Y_{i} a^{i}$ is polynomial of degree at most $\ell$ and $X_{a}$ is the evaluation of this polynomial at point $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Fact A: A polynomial of degree $d$ is (uniquely) determined by its evaluation at $d+1$ points.

Fact $B$ : There are $p^{\ell+1}$ polynomial of degree at most $\ell$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

$$
\text { Facts } \mathrm{A} \text { and } \mathrm{B} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{a_{0}}=b_{0}, \ldots, X_{a_{\ell}}=b_{\ell}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{\ell+1}}
$$

## Vandermonde Matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{1}^{2} & \cdots & a_{1}^{\ell} \\
1 & a_{2} & a_{2}^{2} & \cdots & a_{2}^{\ell} \\
1 & a_{3} & a_{3}^{2} & \cdots & a_{3}^{\ell} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & a_{p} & a_{p}^{2} & \cdots & a_{p}^{\ell}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
Y_{0} \\
Y_{1} \\
Y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{a_{1}} \\
X_{a_{2}} \\
X_{a_{3}} \\
\vdots \\
X_{a p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Vandermonde matrix is full rank (has rank $\ell+1$ )
- (Lemma) let $M \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n \times \ell}$ be a full rank matrix and $Y=Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{\ell}$ be independent samples from $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ then $M Y$ is $(\ell+1)$-wise independent.

We can generate $k$-wise independent $(0,1)$-valued random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ from $O(k)$ random numbers from $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ where $p \geq n$. Therefore to able to generate every $X_{i}$ we need to keep at most $O(k \log n)$ bits.

In particular, we can generate 4 -wise independent $(-1,+1)$-valued random variables $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ by keeping $O(\log n)$ random bits.

## Applications: Pairwise Independence

Problem: Estimating the number of distinct elements in the stream $A=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$

$$
a_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

Example: $A=2,3,2,1,2,9,8,2,5,2,2,4,6,2,2,5,2$
$F_{0}=$ number of distinct elements $=8$

Idea: Hash the elements $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (randomly and independently) to the continuous interval ( 0,1 ). Let $h(x)$ be the hash of $x \in[n]$. Output $\frac{1}{\min h(x)}$

Justification: If $F_{0}$ is high then there will an element $x$ where its hash $h(x)$ is close to zero.


AMS Idea:

- Choose a pairwise independent hash function $h$ from $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ to $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ where $p$ is a prime greater than or equal to $n$. Let $h(x)$ be the hash of $x \in[n]$.
- Let $z \operatorname{eros}(x)$ be the number of trailing zeros in the bit representation of number $x$. For example $z \operatorname{eros}(000011)=4$ and $\operatorname{zeros}(100001)=0$.
- Given the stream $A$, compute $z=\max _{a_{i} \in A} \operatorname{zeros}\left(h\left(a_{i}\right)\right)$
- Output $2^{z}$

Space Complexity of the AMS Idea: To store the hash function $h$, we only need to choose and keep two random numbers $a$ and $p$ from $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Since $p=O(n)$, this takes only $O(\log n)$ bits. Also the value $z$ is easily computable by having access to the function $h$ and storing at most $O(\log n)$ bits. Therefore the algorithm requires only $O(\log n)$ bits.

Proposition 2.3 For every $c>2$ there exists an algorithm that, given a sequence $A$ of members of $N$, computes a number $Y$ using $O(\log n)$ memory bits, such that the probability that the ratio between $Y$ and $F_{0}$ is not between $1 / c$ and $c$ is at most $2 / c$.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{Y}{F_{0}} \leq c\right) \geq 1-\frac{2}{c}
$$

